

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/045,245	Applicant(s) Chen
	Examiner Wesley Nicolas	Group Art Unit 1741

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Wesley Nicolas

(3) Kathryn Gorgos

(2) Robert Polit

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 29, 2000

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All independent claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

Faroq et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Polit proposed amended claims & argued why the proposed claims distinguished over the prior art of record. Proposed to change "enhancing" to "repairing", and further emphasized the unsuitability of the initial layer for bulk electroplating.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Kathryn Gorgos
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

WESLEY NICOLAS
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1741

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/045,245	Applicant(s) Chen
	Examiner Wesley Nicolas	Group Art Unit 1741
		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Wesley Nicolas

(3) _____

(2) Robert Polit

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 18, 2000

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 70 and 71

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Polit agreed to cancel claims 70-71 without prejudice or disclaimer. An Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.